



1

2

3

4

BEFORE THE ARIZONA E OFFICE ATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

2006 JAN 10 A 9: 46

JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL MARC SPITZER

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

MIKE GLEASON 5 KRISTIN K. MAYES

6 IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION BY AUTOTEL FOR ARBITRATION OF AN INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH OWEST CORPORATION PURSUANT TO

DOCKET NO. T-01051B-05-0858

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

SECTION 252(B) OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT.

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On November 23, 2005, Autotel filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") a Petition for Arbitration of an interconnection agreement with Owest Corporation ("Qwest") pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1505 and Section 252(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("the Act").

On December 20, 2005, pursuant to Rule 33(c) and (d) of the Rules of the Supreme Court, Timothy Berg, on behalf of Qwest Corporation, filed a Motion and Consent of Local Counsel for admission of Gregory B. Monson pro hac vice in the above-captioned matter. The Consent listed Timothy Berg, as the designated member of the State Bar with whom communication can be made and upon whom papers shall be served.

In the discretion of the Commission, Gregory B. Monson should be permitted to appear and participate in the above-captioned matter.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Gregory B. Monson shall be admitted pro hac vice in the above-captioned matter. His address for service of papers and other communication shall be:

Gregory B. Monson Stoel Rives, LLO 201 S. Main, Ste. 1100 Salt Lake City, UT 84111

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the address for service of papers and other communication for the Arizona-licensed attorney designated as Timothy Berg shall be:

27

28

1 2	Timothy Berg Fennemore Craig 3003 N. Central, Ste. 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012	
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rule 33 (c) and (d) of th	
4	Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court with respect to practice of law and admission <i>pro hac vice</i> .	
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance	
6		•
7	with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes the obligation	
8	to appear at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the	
9	matter is scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to	
10	withdraw by the Administrative Law Judge.	
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Arbitrator may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any	
12	portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.	
13	Dated this day of January, 2006	
14		1 R 100 0
15	AMY	My 1) 1) Llave
16	ARBI	$ITR^{\mathcal{A}}TOR^{\mathcal{O}}$
17	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this day of January, 2006 to:	
18	Richard L. Oberdorger	
19	114 N.E. Penn Avenue Bend, OR 97701	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel Legal Division
20	Timothy Berg	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street
21	Teresa Dwyer FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC	Phoenix, AZ 85007
22	3003 N. Central Ave., suite 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012	Ernest G. Johnson, Director Utilities Division
23	Attorneys for Qwest	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington
24	Norman G. Curtright QWEST CORPORATION	Phoenix, AZ 85007
25	4041 N. Central Ave., 11 th Floor Phoenix, AZ 85012	By: Molly Johnson
26	Gregory B. Monson	Secretary to Amy Bjelland
27	STOEL RIVES, LLO 201 S. Main, Ste. 1100	
28	Salt Lake City, UT 84111	